arXiv:1404.4608v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 19 May 2014

Cloaking of Arbitrarily-Shaped Objects with Homogeneous Coatings

Carlo Forestiere,[1,2,*] Luca Dal Negro,[1] and Giovanni Miano[2]

[1] *Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering & Photonics Center, Boston University, 8 Saint Mary's Street, Boston, Massachusetts*

[2] *Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Claudio 21, Napoli, 80125, Italy*

We present a theory for the cloaking of arbitrarily-shaped objects and demonstrate electromagnetic scattering-cancellation through designed homogeneous coatings. First, in the small-particle limit, we expand the dipole moment of a coated object in terms of its resonant modes. By zeroing the numerator of the resulting rational function, we accurately predict the permittivity values of the coating layer that abates the total scattered power. Then, we extend the applicability of the method beyond the small-particle limit, deriving the radiation corrections of the scattering-cancellation permittivity within a perturbation approach. Our method permits the design of "invisibility cloaks" for irregularly-shaped devices such as complex sensors and detectors.

Arguably the most studied inverse scattering problem, the design of a cloaking environment that drastically reduces or ideally cancels the electromagnetic scattering of a given object, fascinates scientists and engineers from a broad variety of disciplines. The most popular cloaking approaches developed in the past decade are based on transformation-optics[1,2] and scattering-cancellation[3,4] and have both been experimentally validated at microwaves[5,6]. In particular, the latter technique presents several practical advantages, since it only makes use of homogeneous and isotropic materials, which are easier to fabricate than the inhomogeneous and anisotropic media required by the transformation-optics approach. In addition, the interior of the cloaked object supports non-vanishing fields: this fact has inspired the seminal idea of a cloaked-sensor which can drastically reduce the perturbation introduced by the measuring apparatus on the physical quantity under investigation[7]. However, a rigorous solution of the scattering-cancellation problem exists only for simple shapes, such as cylinders or spheres due to the substantial difficulties in tackling the inverse scattering problem in the presence of non-canonical geometries. This fact may prevent the application of the scattering-cancellation approach to real-life problems since the shape of the object to be cloaked is in general not under the control of the designer of the cloaking system. So far, this problem has been circumvented by resorting to numerical optimization techniques[4,8]. Unfortunately, besides the lack of physical understanding, numerical optimization usually presents a high computational burden, requiring a large number of iterations of the direct electromagnetic problem. In addition, it is also well-known that numerical optimization techniques can be trapped in local minima. This fact is particularly relevant to the problem at hand due to the existence of multiple solution of different quality. Deriving general design techniques for the electromagnetic properties of the homogeneous coating of an arbitrarily shaped object to achieve scattering-cancellation remains a grand challenge.

In this paper, we address this problem introducing a general theory of scattering-cancellation from an arbitrarily shaped object with a homogeneous coating. Our theory enables the rigorous design of the permittivity of the cover of an arbitrarily shaped object to achieve cloaking or "invisibility" in the limit of low-losses. The problem is tackled in two-steps. First, in the small-particle limit (Rayleigh regime), we expand the dipole moment of the coated object in terms of its electrostatic modes, using the theoretical framework developed in Refs.[9–13]. By noting that the obtained expansion is a rational function of the dielectric permittivity of the coating, we can determine the scattering-cancellation condition by zeroing its numerator. Next, in order to extend our method beyond the Rayleigh regime, we derive the radiation corrections of the scattering-cancellation permittivity by using the perturbation approach introduced by Mayergoyz et al. in Ref.[11,14]. In particular, the first- and second-order radiation corrections of the scattering-cancellation permittivity are found by zeroing the corresponding-order perturbation of the dipole moment. Then, we validate our method and estimate its accuracy by designing the susceptibility of the cover of a sphere of several electric sizes. Finally, we design the invisibility cloaking of a C-shaped particle, showing that, by using the radiation corrections, the scattering-cancellation permittivity can be accurately predicted even for objects of size comparable to the incident wavelength.

I. SMALL-PARTICLE LIMIT

We start by considering a core-shell object of arbitrary shape sketched in Fig. 1 (a), and embedded in free-space. The core is assumed to be made of a linear, homogeneous, isotropic, lossless medium with relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{r,1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and corresponding susceptibility $\chi_1 = \varepsilon_{r,1} - 1$, whereas the shell is composed by a linear, homogeneous, isotropic, and time-dispersive material exhibiting a complex permittivity $\varepsilon_{r,2}(\omega) = \varepsilon'_{r,2} - j\varepsilon''_{r,2}$ (a time-harmonic dependence $e^{j\omega t}$ has been assumed) and a corresponding susceptibility $\chi_2(\omega) = \varepsilon_{r,2} - 1$. We denote with V_1 and V_2 the volumes occupied by the core and the

shell, respectively, and with V_3 the external space. We also denote with S_1 and S_2 the surfaces separating the shell with the core and with the external space, respectively. Both the outward-pointing normals to the two surfaces S_1 and S_2 are indicated with $\mathbf{n}$. Assuming that the investigated system is much smaller than the wavelength of operation we employ the quasi-electrostatic approximation of the Maxwell's equations.



FIG. 1. Sketch of the studied core-shell geometry (a). Investigated spherical shell (b). Investigated coated C-shaped object where only the portion of the boundary surfaces with $z < 0$ (c) and $x < 0$ (d) is shown.

The source-free electric field that may exist in the presence of a dielectric coating with $\varepsilon'_{r,2} < 0$ can be described by two equivalent free-standing single layers of electric charge density σ' and σ'' distributed on S_1 and S_2, respectively. They are solution of the following homogeneous boundary integral equation[12]:

$$\mathcal{A}\begin{bmatrix}\sigma'\\ \sigma''\end{bmatrix} = \beta\,\mathcal{B}\begin{bmatrix}\sigma'\\ \sigma''\end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are endomorphisms of the vector space $\mathbb{L}^2(S_1)\times\mathbb{L}^2(S_2)$ defined as:

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon_{r,1}(\mathcal{L}_{11}-\mathcal{I}) & \varepsilon_{r,1}\mathcal{L}_{12}\\ -\mathcal{L}_{21} & (-\mathcal{L}_{22}-\mathcal{I})\end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix}(\mathcal{L}_{11}+\mathcal{I}) & \mathcal{L}_{12}\\ -\mathcal{L}_{21} & (-\mathcal{L}_{22}+\mathcal{I})\end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{uv}:\mathbb{L}^2(S_v)\to\mathbb{L}^2(S_u)$ is defined $\forall u,v\in\{1,2\}$ as

$$\mathcal{L}_{uv}\{\sigma\}(Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\oint_{S_v}\sigma(M)\,\frac{\mathbf{r}_{MQ}\cdot\mathbf{n}_Q}{r^3_{MQ}}dS_M,\ Q\in S_u \tag{4}$$

and $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity operator. Equation 1 defines a generalized eigenvalue problem in β for the operator pair $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. As the operators $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are not self-adjoint, the eigenmodes of the problem (1) are not orthogonal. Therefore, we consider the following problem:

$$\mathcal{A}^\dagger\begin{bmatrix}\tau'\\ \tau''\end{bmatrix} = \beta\,\mathcal{B}^\dagger\begin{bmatrix}\tau'\\ \tau''\end{bmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{A}\dagger$ and $\mathcal{B}\dagger$ are the adjoint operators of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Since the operators $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are compact, problems 1 and 5 support discrete spectra. Moreover, problems 1 and 5 share the same eigenvalues $\{\beta_k|k\in\mathbb{N}\}$, whereas their eigenmodes $\{\sigma_k|k\in\mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\tau_k|k\in\mathbb{N}\}$ form bi-orthonormal sets[12], namely:

$$\begin{aligned}&\oint_{S_1}\tau'_h(\mathcal{L}_{11}+\mathcal{I})\,\sigma'_k dS\\ &\quad+\oint_{S_1}\tau'_h\,\mathcal{L}_{12}\sigma''_k\,dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau''_h\,\mathcal{L}_{21}\sigma'_k\,dS\\ &\quad-\oint_{S_2}\tau''_h(\mathcal{L}_{22}-\mathcal{I})\,\sigma''_k dS=\delta_{hk},\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\delta_{h,k}$ is the Kronecker delta, which is 1 if the $k=h$, and 0 otherwise. It is fundamental to note that the eigenvalues β_k, and the associated eigenmodes depend solely on the geometry of the shell and on the dielectric constant of the core. This fact is crucial for the design of the dielectric constant of the cover to achieve transparency. It can be also proved that the eigenvalue β_k are real and negative.

We associate to each eigenmode k a dipole moment $\mathbf{p}_k=(p_{k,x},p_{k,y},p_{k,z})$

$$\mathbf{p}_k = \oint_{S_1}\mathbf{r}\sigma'_k dS+\oint_{S_2}\mathbf{r}\sigma''_k dS. \tag{7}$$

The kth plasmonic mode is *dark* if $\mathbf{p}_k=0$, it is *bright* otherwise.

When the coated object is excited by an external field $\mathbf{E}_{inc}$ its dipole moment can be expressed as[11,13]:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(0)}(\chi_2) = \sum_k\frac{\chi_1\,r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}+\chi_2 r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}}{\psi_k-\chi_2}\mathbf{p}_k, \tag{8}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}r'^{(0)}_{inc,k} &= -2\varepsilon_0\oint_{S_1}\mathbf{E}_{inc}\cdot\mathbf{n}\tau'_k dS,\\ r''^{(0)}_{inc,k} &= 2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\mathbf{E}_{inc}\cdot\mathbf{n}\tau'_k dS-\oint_{S_2}\mathbf{E}_{inc}\cdot\mathbf{n}\tau''_k dS\right).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The real resonant frequency ω_k of the mode k can be obtained by the equation:

$$\mathrm{Re}\{\varepsilon_{r,2}(\omega_k)\} = \beta_k, \tag{10}$$

Equation 8 shows that the total dipole moment is a rational function of the susceptibility of the cover χ_2, since as already noticed ψ_k, $r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}$, $r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}$, and $\mathbf{p}_k$ are independent of χ_2. This fact allows a simple and elegant solution of the inverse scattering problem.

The quantity $c_k(\chi_2)=\left[\chi_1\,r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}+\chi_2 r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}\right]$ in the numerator of Eq. 8 represents the coupling coefficient of the mode k to the external excitation $\mathbf{E}_{inc}$. Moreover,

we define the resonant radiative strength $\mathbf{s}_k$ of the mode k

$$\mathbf{s}_k = c_k(\psi_k)\,\mathbf{p}_k = \left[\chi_1\, r'^{(0)}_{inc,k} + \psi_k r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}\right]\mathbf{p}_k. \qquad (11)$$

which quantifies the contribution of the mode k to the total dipole moment at its resonance. It is immediate to notice that dark modes have vanishing magnitude of $\mathbf{s}_k$. As we will see later by examples, this synthetic parameter is particularly useful to separate the modes that make a significant contribution to the dipole moment by those who make a minor contribution and can therefore be neglected.

Once the total dipole moment is known, the total power scattered by the structure is given by:

$$P_{rad} = \frac{\omega^4}{12\pi\varepsilon_0 c^3}|\mathbf{p}|^2 = \frac{\omega^4}{12\pi\varepsilon_0 c^3}\sum_{t\in\{x,y,z\}}|p_t|^2, \qquad (12)$$

being c the speed of light in free-space.

The goal of our study is to find the values of susceptibility of the cover at which the scattered power P_{rad} vanishes when the core-shell object is excited by the field $\mathbf{E}_{inc}$. We assume that the coated object exhibits n bright modes, i.e. $\sigma_k = (\sigma'_k, \sigma''_k)\,|\,k = 1\ldots n$, with corresponding resonant susceptibilities ψ_k. We also assume that the component of the total dipole moment along a given direction $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is strongly dominant in the frequency range of interest:

$$\frac{|\mathbf{p}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}|}{\|\mathbf{p} - (\mathbf{p}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})\,\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|} \gg 1. \qquad (13)$$

Thus, the problem reduces to finding the values of χ_2 in correspondence of which the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-component of the dipole moment vanishes. In the presence of objects of moderate aspect ratio, the offset between the directions of the dipole moment and the incident polarization direction is usually small, thus it is reasonable to assume $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{E}_{inc}/\|\mathbf{E}_{inc}\|$. Starting from Eq. 8 it is straightforward to demonstrate that the zeros of the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ component of the dipole moment are given by the roots of the following polynomial of degree n

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{\hat{\alpha}}\{\chi_2\} &= \chi_2^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} r''^{(0)}_{inc,k} p_{k,\hat{\alpha}} \\ -\chi_2^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}&\left[r''^{(0)}_{inc,k} e_1(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) - \chi_1 r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}\right]p_{k,\hat{\alpha}} + \\ &+\chi_2^{n-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big[r''^{(0)}_{inc,k} e_2(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) \\ &-\chi_1 r'^{(0)}_{inc,k} e_1(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots)\Big]p_{k,\hat{\alpha}} + \cdots = 0, \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

where $p_{k,\hat{\alpha}} = \mathbf{p}_k\cdot\hat{\alpha}$ and $e_i(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots)$ is an *elementary symmetric polynomial* of degree i in the $n-1$ variables $\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1},\ldots,\psi_n$, defined as[15]:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) &= 1, \\ e_1(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) &= \sum_{\substack{1\le l\le n\\ l\neq k}}\psi_l, \\ e_2(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) &= \sum_{\substack{1\le l<u\le n\\ l,u\neq k}}\psi_l\psi_u, \\ e_3(\ldots\psi_{k-1},\psi_{k+1}\ldots) &= \sum_{\substack{1\le l<u<v\le n\\ l,u,v\neq k}}\psi_l\psi_u\psi_v, \\ &\ldots \end{aligned} \qquad (15)$$

Equation 14 is indeed a design formula: denoting with $\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}$ the generic root of the polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\hat{\alpha}}$, to achieve transparency at a prescribed frequency ω_0 the cover has to exhibit a susceptibility $\chi_2(\omega_0) = \chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}$. We also denote with $\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r} = \chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}} + 1$ the corresponding value of scattering-cancellation permittivity. It should be emphasized that at microwaves or in the far infrared, a metamaterial cover exhibiting the prescribed susceptibility can be engineered and fabricated (e.g.[16]). Instead, in the visible, the difficulties in manipulating strongly subwavelength meta-atoms make the implementation of the designed cover very challenging.

II. RADIATION CORRECTION OF THE SCATTERING-CANCELLATION PERMITTIVITY

As the diameter D of the minimum sphere circumscribing the particle becomes comparable to the incident wavelength λ, the quasi-static prediction made by Eq. 14 becomes inaccurate. Nevertheless, our method can be significantly extended by using a perturbation approach. We have adapted to the problem at hand the approach that Mayergoyz et al. originally introduced to study the radiation correction of the plasmonic resonance[11]. By introducing the perturbation parameter $\beta = \omega\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}D$, we expand the excitation fields, the relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ at which the scattering-cancellation occurs, and the corresponding electric and magnetic fields in powers of β. In particular, for $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ we have

$$\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r} \approx \varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r} + \beta\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r} + \beta^2\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r} + \cdots. \qquad (16)$$

These expansions are then substituted into the Maxwell's equations and first- and second-order boundary value problems are obtained by equating the terms of corresponding order. Eventually, the first- and second-order radiation corrections of the scattering-cancellation permittivity, i.e. $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ and $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$, are found by zeroing the corresponding perturbations of the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-component of the dipole moment of the coated object. The detailed derivation is shown in the appendix. As we will see in the next section, the quasi-electrostatic theory accompanied



FIG. 2. (a) Electric charge density of the eigenmodes of the coated sphere with non-vanishing radiative strength. The outer shell is "opened" to allow the visualization of the surface charge density on the inner surface. (b) Power scattered by the coated sphere calculated with the bi-orthogonal expansion and with the Mie-Theory. R is the external radius (see Fig 1 (b)). The power scattered by the uncloaked Si sphere is also shown (blue line). The zeros and the poles of the x-component of the total dipole moment are shown with red and black vertical lines.

by the radiation corrections turns out to be the most natural and predictive framework to describe the regime in which the dipole scattering is dominant.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Cloaking of a sphere

Aiming at the validation of our method, we design the susceptibility of the cover of the silicon dioxide ($\chi_1 = 2.9$) sphere shown in Fig. 1(b) with $\rho = r/R = 0.8$ to achieve transparency under a x-polarized electric field. This problem admits an analytical solution (see for instance[3,17]) that we use to estimate the error of our approach. We first solve this problem in the small-particle limit, then, by using the radiation corrections presented in the appendix, we extend the solution to the case of particle's sizes comparable to the wavelength.

We start by numerically solving the electrostatic eigenvalue problems 1 and 5, obtaining the set of resonant susceptibilities ψ_k and the corresponding eigenmodes (σ'_k, σ''_k) and (τ'_k, τ''_k), normalized according to Eq. 6[18]. Then, the values of $r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}$ and $r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}$ and of the resonant radiative strength $\mathbf{s}_k$ are calculated for each mode using Eqs. 9 and 11. At this point, we notice that only two degenerate eigenvalues (each of them with multiplicity 3) are associated to eigenmodes with non-vanishing radiative strength. Their surface charge density is shown in Fig. 2 (a) and their resonant susceptibilities are listed in Tab. I. Furthermore, for symmetry considerations the total dipole moment has to be oriented along the x-axis. The coupling between these six eigenmodes, formally described by Eq. 14 with $n = 6$ and $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, gives rise to zeros in the scattered power. The values of susceptibility

# mode	1,2,3	4,5,6
ψ_k	-13.9	-1.3
# zero	1	2
$\chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ (theory)	-0.6665	-6.8474
$\chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ (numeric)	-0.6663	-6.8545

TABLE I. Resonant susceptibilities of the modes of a spherical core-shell objects ($\chi_1 = 2.9$, $\rho = 0.8$) with non-vanishing resonant radiative strength and zeros of the x-component of the overall dipole moment of the coated sphere.

$\chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}}$ satisfying the transparency condition, i.e. Eq. 14, are listed in Tab. I; they are real and in very good agreement with the analytical solution (error below 0.1%). To achieve transparency at a given wavelength λ_0, the susceptibility of the cover should satisfy the constraint $\chi_2(\lambda_0) = \chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}}$. It is worth noting that since actual materials always exhibit losses, the transparency condition is never exactly satisfied. If a Drude metal is the material of choice, its plasma frequency ω_p is given by:

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{-(\omega_0^2 + \gamma^2)\,\chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}}}. \tag{17}$$

By assuming $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ and $\gamma = 8 \cdot 10^8 s^{-1}$ and choosing the zero #2, i.e. $\chi^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC}} = -6.8545$, we obtain $\omega_p = 8.97 \cdot 10^{10} s^{-1}$ rad/s. For instance, a micro-structure made of a regular array of thin wires can be properly designed to mimic a Drude metal with the prescribed plasma frequency[19].

In Fig. 2 (b), we plot the corresponding scattered power as a function of the wavelength obtained using Eqs. 8 and 12 where the summation runs only over the modes $1 \ldots 6$. We also show with a blue line the scattered power from the uncloaked sphere and with red open circles the scattered power of the cloaked sphere calculated using the Mie theory, which validates the bi-orthogonal expansion.

As soon as the diameter D of the sphere becomes comparable to the incident wavelength, the quasi-static prediction of the scattering-cancellation permittivity made by Eq. 14 becomes inaccurate and the use of the radiation corrections presented in the appendix is mandatory. Thus, we determine the radiation corrections to the zero #2 ($\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC},r} = -5.8545$) as a function of the electric size D/λ_0 of the sphere and we compare the resulting value of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{SC},r}$ with the one obtained by the Mie theory using Ref.[3]. By using Eqs. A.20 and A.34 we obtain $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathrm{SC},r} = -4.1018 \cdot 10^{-06} j$ and $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathrm{SC},r} = -0.7332$. It is worth noting that the value of $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathrm{SC},r}$ is negligible, thus $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{SC},r}$ can be approximated by:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{SC},r} \approx \varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathrm{SC},r} + \varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathrm{SC},r} \left(2\pi \frac{D}{\lambda_0}\right)^2 \tag{18}$$

In Fig. 3 we plot the scattering-cancellation permittivity calculated by Eq. 18 and by the Mie theory[3]. We notice



FIG. 3. Scattering-cancellation permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ of the coating of a spherical object ($\chi_1 = 2.9$, $\rho = 0.8$) computed by using the radiation corrections (black line) and the full-wave Mie theory (red line) as a function of the electric size of the object D/λ_0.

very good agreement between the two approaches up to the electric size of $D/\lambda_0 = 0.3$.

D/λ_0	0.15	0.2	0.25	0.3
$\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$	-6.5	-7.0	-7.7	- 8.5
$\omega_p(rad/s)$	$9.39 \cdot 10^{10}$	$9.70 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.01 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.05 \cdot 10^{11}$
Q	16dB	11.5dB	6.3dB	0.67dB

TABLE II. For different electric sizes of the coated-sphere we list the permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ of the coating at which scattering-cancellation occurs, the corresponding value of plasma frequency ω_p of the Drude cover and the achieved quality of scattering-cancellation Q defined as the ratio between the powers scattered by the uncloaked and the cloaked object at $\lambda_0 = 5.5$cm.

Choosing $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ and four different electric sizes, namely $D/\lambda_0 = 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3$ we obtain the corresponding values of $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ that guarantee the dipole scattering-cancellation using Eq. 18. They are listed in Tab. II together with the corresponding plasma frequencies of the Drude-metal coating obtained using Eq. 17. Thus, for each case, we plot in Fig. 4 the power scattered by the coated sphere as a function of the wavelength. In all the four cases the minimum of the scattering power corresponds to the nominal wavelength $\lambda_0 = 550nm$ (vertical red line) as expected. Nevertheless, we notice a degradation of the quality of scattering-cancellation as the electric size of the object increases due to the onset of higher-order scattering modes. In particular, in correspondence to $D/\lambda_0 = 0.3$ (Fig. 4 (d)), despite the scattered power has still a local minimum at λ_0, the scattered powers of the cloaked and the uncloaked structure are almost equal. For larger sizes, the cancellation of the dipole-scattering is not sufficient to reduce the total scattered power, since the scattering is dominated by higher



FIG. 4. Spectra of the power scattered by the investigated coated sphere ($\chi_1 = 2.9$, $\rho = 0.8$) for different electric sizes, namely $D/\lambda = 0.15$ (a) ,0.2 (b) , 0.25(c) , 0.3 (d) calculated by the full-wave Mie theory. The material of the coating has been designed using the quasi-electrostatic theory and the radiation corrections. The used values of plasma frequency of the coating are listed in Tab II. The power scattered by the uncloaked Si sphere (blue line) and the nominal wavelength $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ (red line) are also shown.

order modes.

B. Cloaking of a C-shaped object

In order to demonstrate the feasibility of the presented approach, we design the material of the cover of the C-shaped object sketched in Fig. 1 (c-d) to achieve transparency under a y-polarized excitation. The core is made of silicon dioxide, i.e. $\chi_1 = 2.9$, with dimensions $R = 0.3$, $d = 0.3$, $a = 0.5$, while the thickness of the cover is $\delta = 0.05$. We numerically solve the eigenvalue problems 1 and 5, obtaining the set of resonant susceptibilities ψ_k and the corresponding eigenmodes (σ'_k, σ''_k) and (τ'_k, τ''_k). Then, the values of $r'^{(0)}_{inc,k}$ and $r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}$ and of the resonant radiative strengths $\mathbf{s}_k$ are calculated for each mode using Eqs. 9 and 11. At this point, we notice that only nine eigenvalues are associated to eigenmodes with non-negligible radiative strength. The remaining eigenmodes have resonant radiative strengths less than a prescribed limit $\|\mathbf{s}_k\| / \max_k \|\mathbf{s}_k\| < 1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and have been disregarded. The surface charge density of the eigenmodes is shown in Fig. 5 (a) and their resonant susceptibilities ψ_k are reported in table III. Thus, we assume that the component of the dipole moment along the incident polarization direction is dominant. The values of susceptibility satisfying the transparency condition, i.e. Eq. 14 with $n = 9$ and with $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, are listed in Tab. III. To achieve transparency at a given wavelength λ_0, the susceptibility of the cover should satisfy the constraint $\chi_2(\lambda_0) = \chi^{(0)}_{\text{SC}}$, where $\chi^{(0)}_{\text{SC}}$ is the generic root of Eq. 14.



FIG. 5. (a) Electric charge density of the eigenmodes of the coated C-shaped particle with appreciable radiative strengths when excited with a y-polarized electric field. The outer shell is "opened" to allow the visualization of the surface charge density on the inner surface. (b) Power scattered by a coated C-shaped particle when excited by a y-polarized electric field. L is the horizontal length of the particle (see Fig. 1(c)). The power scattered by the uncloaked C-shaped particle is also shown (blue line). The zeros of the y-component of the total dipole moment are also shown with red vertical lines.

Since actual materials always exhibit losses, when a zero of the dipole moment is in proximity of a pole, i.e. a plasmon resonance, the pole-zero cancellation can deteriorate the quality of the designed transparency. Therefore, in the presence of real materials the roots of Eq. 14 are not equivalent in terms of the quality of the scattering-cancellation. When many solutions are allowed, as in the present scenario, the zeros far from the poles have to be preferred. In this case, examining Tab. III, we select the zero #8, i.e. $\chi_{\text{SC}}^{(0)} = -7.4$. Considering a Drude metal, and assuming $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ and $\gamma = \omega_p \cdot 10^{-2}$ we obtain $\omega_p = 9.29 \cdot 10^{10}$ rad/s. At this point, the condition 13 has been verified *a posteriori* using Eq. 8. In Fig. 5 (b) we plot the corresponding scattered power as a function of the wavelength using Eqs. 8 and 12 where the summation runs only over the modes $1 \dots 9$[20]. We also show with a blue line the power scattered by the uncloaked C-shaped object and with red vertical lines the position of the zeros of the y-component of the overall dipole moment, which are directly obtained from the values of susceptibility. At the wavelength $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ the scattered power is reduced of $24.8dB$ with respect to the uncloaked object. It is worth noting that in Fig. 5 (b) the zeros of the scattered power spectra give rise to asymmetric scattering line-shapes, usually referred to as Fano-like resonances. As already shown for arrays of homogeneous plasmonic objects[13], also in strongly subwavelength plasmonic shells Fano-like resonances are originated by dipole scattering-cancellation of bright-modes[21].

As the diameter D of the minimum sphere circumscribing the C-shaped object become comparable to the incident wavelength λ_0, the scattering-cancellation permittivity calculated by Eq. 14 has to be corrected using the perturbation approach. Thus, we determine the radiation corrections to the zero #8 of Tab. III ($\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}^{(0)} = -6.4$) as a function of the electric size D/λ_0 of the C-shaped object. By using Eqs. A.20 and A.34 we obtain $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}^{(1)} = -4.5105 \cdot 10^{-05} j$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}^{(2)} = -0.0763$. Since the value of $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}^{(1)}$ is negligible, also in this case $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ can be approximated by Eq. 18. In Fig. 3 we plot the scattering-cancellation permittivity calculated by Eq. 18 as a function of the electric size of the object D/λ_0.

# mode	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ψ_k	-89.0	-27.3	-19.2	-16.5	-14.2	-12.4	-10.7	-10.1	-1.3
λ_k (cm)	19.1	10.6	8.9	8.2	7.6	7.1	6.6	6.5	2.3
# zero	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\chi_{\text{SC}}^{(0)}$	-33.8	-27.3	-16.8	-14.5	-12.7	-10.8	-10.2	-7.4	-0.84
λ_{SC} (cm)	11.8	10.6	8.3	7.7	7.2	6.7	6.5	5.5	1.9

TABLE III. Resonant susceptibilities of the bright modes and zeros of the $y-$component of the total dipole moment of a coated C-shaped object excited by a y-polarized electric field. The corresponding wavelengths are also listed assuming $\omega_p = 9.29 \cdot 10^{10}$ rad/s and $\gamma = \omega_p \cdot 10^{-2}$.



FIG. 6. Scattering-cancellation permittivity $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ of the coating of a C-shaped object as a function of the electric size of the object D/λ_0 and computed using the radiation corrections.

By choosing $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ and four different electric sizes, namely $D/\lambda_0 = 0.18, 0.54, 0.72, 0.9$, we obtain the values of $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$, listed in Tab. IV, that guarantee the dipole scattering-cancellation. Thus, for each value of $\varepsilon_{\text{SC},r}$ we show in Fig. 7 the power scattered by the coated C-shaped object as a function of the wavelength. In all the four cases the minimum of the scattering power corresponds to the nominal wavelength $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ (vertical red line). This fact validates our design. As in the case of the sphere, the onset of higher-order scattering modes,

occurring for large electric sizes has a detrimental effect on quality of scattering-cancellation. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that a moderate reduction of the scattered power is achieved also in the case in which the dimension of the C-shaped object is equal to the incident wavelength λ_0 as shown Fig. 7.

D/λ_0	0.2	0.6	0.8	1
$\varepsilon_{sc,r}$	-6.45	-7.23	-7.91	-8.79
$\omega_p(rad/s)$	$9.35 \cdot 10^{10}$	$9.83 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.023 \cdot 10^{11}$	$1.072 \cdot 10^{11}$
Q	13dB	7.3dB	4.3dB	2.2dB

TABLE IV. For different electric sizes of the coated C-shaped object we list the permittivity $\varepsilon_{sc,r}$ of the coating at which scattering-cancellation occurs, the corresponding value of plasma frequency ω_p of the Drude cover and the achieved quality of scattering cancellation Q defined as the ratio between the powers scattered by the uncloaked and the cloaked object at $\lambda_0 = 5.5$cm.



FIG. 7. Spectra of the power scattered by the investigated coated C-shaped particle for different electric sizes, namely $D/\lambda = 0.2$(a), 0.6(b), 0.8(c), 1(d), when it is excited by a y-polarized plane wave propagating along z. The spectra have been calculated by the full-retarded Method of Moments[22,23]. The material of the coating has been designed using the quasi-electrostatic theory and the radiation corrections. The used values of plasma frequency of the coating are listed in Tab IV. The power scattered by the uncloaked C-shaped particle (blue line) and the wavelength $\lambda_0 = 5.5cm$ (red line) are also shown.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a novel theory for the cloaking of arbitrarily-shaped objects through designed homogeneous coatings. Our approach, which is valid beyond the Rayleigh regime, permits the rigorous design of the permittivity values of the coating layer that abates the total scattered power. It can be also easily extended to design the cloaking of objects lying on a substrate, multi-coated objects, and plasmonic cores with a dielectric shell.

Nevertheless, it is important to point out that the achieved cloaking depends on the polarization of the incident light, being this limitation inherent to the scattering-cancellation approach to cloaking when applied to arbitrary shapes using homogeneous and isotropic materials[24]. Moreover, since this approach is based on the cancellation of the dipole scattering, it is ineffective when the electric size of the particle is large enough that high orders of scattering are dominant. Moreover, in the presence of objects of extreme aspect ratio it may not be possible to find a direction $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ satisfying the condition 13. In this case the scattering cancellation is not limited by the losses but by a residual polarization lying on the plane orthogonal to $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ with a consequent degradation of the quality of the cloaking[25].

Despite its limitations, the introduced framework paves the way to the application of the scattering-cancellation to real-life problems where the shape of the object to be cloaked, e.g. a complex sensor, is not under the control of the designer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory through the Collaborative Research Alliance (CRA) for MultiScale multidisciplinary Modeling of Electronic materials (MSME), and by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research through the project PON01_02782.

Appendix: Derivation of the radiation correction of the scattering-cancellation permittivity

In the present section we derive the first- and second-order radiation correction of the permittivity at which scattering-cancellation occurs. This is achieved by zeroing the corresponding perturbations of the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-component of the dipole moment of the coated object. In order to accomplish this, we have adapted to the problem at hand the approach that Mayergoyz et al. originally introduced to study the radiation correction of the plasmonic resonance[11]. Thus, by introducing the normalized incident and scattered fields

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{e}_{inc} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}\,\mathbf{E}_{inc} & \mathbf{e}_t = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0}\,\mathbf{E}_t \\ \mathbf{h}_{inc} = \sqrt{\mu_0}\,\mathbf{H}_{inc}, & \mathbf{h}_t = \sqrt{\mu_0}\,\mathbf{H}_t \end{array} \quad \forall t \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{A.1}$$

and scaling the spatial coordinates by the diameter D of the smallest sphere circumscribing the object, we obtain

the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\times\mathbf{e}_1&=-j\beta\mathbf{h}_1\\ \nabla\times\mathbf{h}_1&=+j\beta\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1+j\beta\left(\varepsilon_{1,r}-1\right)\mathbf{e}_{inc}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{e}_1&=\mathbf{0}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{h}_1&=\mathbf{0}\end{aligned}\quad\text{in } V_1, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\times\mathbf{e}_2&=-j\beta\mathbf{h}_2\\ \nabla\times\mathbf{h}_2&=+j\beta\varepsilon_{2,r}\mathbf{e}_2+j\beta\left(\varepsilon_{2,r}-1\right)\mathbf{e}_{inc}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{e}_2&=\mathbf{0}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{h}_2&=\mathbf{0}\end{aligned}\quad\text{in } V_2, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\times\mathbf{e}_3&=-j\beta\mathbf{h}_3\\ \nabla\times\mathbf{h}_3&=+j\beta\mathbf{e}_3\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{e}_3&=\mathbf{0}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{h}_3&=\mathbf{0}\end{aligned}\quad\text{in } V_3, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\varepsilon_{2,r}\mathbf{e}_2-\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1\right)&=-\left(\varepsilon_{2,r}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_2-\mathbf{e}_1\right)&=\mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_2-\mathbf{h}_1\right)&=\mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_2-\mathbf{h}_1\right)&=\mathbf{0}\end{aligned}\quad\text{on } S_1, \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_3-\varepsilon_{2,r}\mathbf{e}_2\right)&=-\left(1-\varepsilon_{2,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_3-\mathbf{e}_2\right)&=\mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_3-\mathbf{h}_2\right)&=\mathbf{0}\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_3-\mathbf{h}_2\right)&=\mathbf{0}\end{aligned}\quad\text{on } S_2, \tag{A.6}$$

where we have defined the quantity $\beta=\omega\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}D$.

When the dimension D is small compared to the free-space wavelength, the forcing terms $\mathbf{e}_{inc},\mathbf{h}_{inc}$, the relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ at which the scattering-cancellation occurs, and the corresponding fields $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ can be expanded in powers of β, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_{inc}&\approx\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}+\beta\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}+\beta^2\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(2)}+\cdots\\ \varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}&\approx\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}+\beta\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}+\beta^2\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(2)}+\cdots\\ \mathbf{e}&\approx\mathbf{e}^{(0)}+\beta\mathbf{e}^{(1)}+\beta^2\mathbf{e}^{(2)}+\cdots\\ \mathbf{h}&\approx\mathbf{h}^{(0)}+\beta\mathbf{h}^{(1)}+\beta^2\mathbf{h}^{(2)}+\cdots\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

In the particular case of a plane wave excitation of expression:

$$\mathbf{e}_{inc}=\mathbf{e}_0\exp\left(-j\beta\mathbf{i}_k\cdot\mathbf{r}\right), \tag{A.8}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}&=\mathbf{e}_0,\\ \mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}&=-j\left(\mathbf{i}_k\cdot\mathbf{r}\right)\mathbf{e}_0,\\ \mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(2)}&=-\frac{\left(\mathbf{i}_k\cdot\mathbf{r}\right)^2}{2}\mathbf{e}_0.\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

1. Zero-Order Boundary Value Problem

Substituting the expansion A.7 in Eqs. A.2-A.6 and equating the terms of zero-power we obtain the zero-order boundary value problem for the electric field:

$$\begin{cases}\nabla\times\mathbf{e}_t^{(0)}=\mathbf{0}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{e}_t^{(0)}=0\end{cases}\quad\forall t\in\{1,2,3\}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1^{(0)}\right)&=-\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)},\\ \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}\right)&=-\left(1-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)},\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}-\mathbf{e}_1^{(0)}\right)&=\mathbf{0},\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(0)}-\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}\right)&=\mathbf{0},\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

and the zero-order boundary value problem for the magnetic field:

$$\begin{cases}\nabla\times\mathbf{h}_t^{(0)}=\mathbf{0},\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{h}_t^{(0)}=0,\end{cases}\quad\forall t\in\{1,2,3\} \tag{A.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_2^{(0)}-\mathbf{h}_1^{(0)}\right)=0,&\quad\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_3^{(0)}-\mathbf{h}_2^{(0)}\right)=0,\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_2^{(0)}-\mathbf{h}_1^{(0)}\right)=\mathbf{0},&\quad\mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_3^{(0)}-\mathbf{h}_2^{(0)}\right)=\mathbf{0}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

First, from Eqs. A.12 and A.13 we can conclude that $\mathbf{h}^{(0)}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Next, we notice that the set of Eqs. A.10-A.11 defines the electrostatic problem encountered in the previous section. Thus, we have to use Eq. 14 in order to find the zero-order values $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}$ of the dielectric permittivity zeroing the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$-component of the zero-order dipole moment.

2. First-Order Boundary Value Problem

Next, equating the terms of first-power in Eqs. A.2-A.6 we obtain the first-order boundary value problem for the electric field:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\times\mathbf{e}_t^{(1)}&=\mathbf{0}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{e}_t^{(1)}&=0\end{aligned}\quad\forall t\in\{1,2,3\}\,, \tag{A.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1^{(1)}\right)&=-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)\\ &\quad-\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)},\\ \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(1)}-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}\right)&=+\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)\\ &\quad-\left(1-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)},\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}-\mathbf{e}_1^{(1)}\right)&=\mathbf{0},\\ \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(1)}-\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}\right)&=\mathbf{0}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

and the first-order boundary value problem for the magnetic field:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{h}_1^{(1)} &= j\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1^{(0)} + j\left(\varepsilon_{1,r}-1\right)\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)},\\
\nabla \times \mathbf{h}_2^{(1)} &= j\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)} + j\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}-1\right)\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)},\\
\nabla \times \mathbf{h}_3^{(1)} &= j\mathbf{e}_3^{(0)},\\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_t^{(1)} &= 0 \quad \forall t \in \{1,2,3\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_2^{(1)}-\mathbf{h}_1^{(1)}\right)=\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{h}_3^{(1)}-\mathbf{h}_2^{(1)}\right)=\mathbf{0},\\
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_2^{(1)}-\mathbf{h}_1^{(1)}\right)=\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{h}_3^{(1)}-\mathbf{h}_2^{(1)}\right)=\mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

From Eqs. A.14-A.15 we derive the expression of the first-order correction $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$ of the dipole moment of the coated object in terms of its electrostatic modes $\mathbf{p}_k$:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(1)}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\right) = \sum_k \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\left(r_{s,k}^{\prime\prime(0)}+r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(0)}\right)+\chi_1 r_{inc,k}^{\prime(1)}+\chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)}r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(1)}}{\psi_k-\chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)}}\mathbf{p}_k, \tag{A.18}$$

where we have defined the quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{inc,k}^{\prime(1)} &= -2\varepsilon_0\oint_{S_1}\tau_k^{\prime}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}dS,\\
r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(1)} &= 2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\tau_k^{\prime}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau_k^{\prime\prime}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}dS\right),\\
r_{s,k}^{\prime\prime(0)} &= 2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\tau_k^{\prime}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_1^{(0)}dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau_k^{\prime\prime}\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}dS\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

By zeroing the quantity $\mathbf{p}^{(1)}$ in Eq. A.18 we obtain the first-order correction $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}$ of the permittivity at which scattering-cancellation occurs:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)} = -\frac{\displaystyle\sum_k \frac{\chi_1 r_{inc,k}^{\prime(1)}+\chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)}r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(1)}}{\psi_k-\chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)}}p_{k,\hat{\alpha}}}{\displaystyle\sum_k \frac{r_{s,k}^{\prime\prime(0)}+r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(0)}}{\psi_k-\chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)}}p_{k,\hat{\alpha}}}. \tag{A.20}$$

We now summarize the algorithm for the computation of $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}$. Assuming that the zero-order scattering cancellation permittivity $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)} = \chi_{\mathtt{SC}}^{(0)} - 1$ has been already obtained using Eq. 14, we calculate the corresponding scattered electric field $\mathbf{e}^{(0)}$, solution of the problem A.10-A.11, on S_1 and S_2. Thus, we apply Eq. A.19 to compute the quantities $r_{s,k}^{\prime\prime(0)}$ and $r_{inc,k}^{\prime(1)}, r_{inc,k}^{\prime\prime(1)}$ using the fields $\mathbf{e}^{(0)}$, $\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}$ and the electrostatic eigenvectors $(\tau_k^{\prime}, \tau_k^{\prime\prime})$. Eventually, we calculate $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}$ by Eq. A.20.

Let us now turn our attention to the first-order boundary problem for the magnetic field, shown in Eqs. A.16-A.17. Its solution that will be useful for the second-order problem, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}^{(1)}(Q) = j\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}}{4\pi}\oint_{S_1}\frac{\mathbf{n}_M\times\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)}{r_{MQ}}dV_M\\
+ j\frac{1-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}}{4\pi}\oint_{S_2}\frac{\mathbf{n}_M\times\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)}{r_{MQ}}dV_M.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

3. Second-Order Boundary Value Problem

Next, we discuss the second-order correction $\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(2)}$ for the scattering-cancellation permittivity. Equating the terms of second-power in Eqs. A.2-A.6 we obtain the second-order boundary value problem for the electric field:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{e}_t^{(2)} &= -j\mathbf{h}_t^{(1)}\\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_t^{(2)} &= \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned} \quad \text{in } V_t \quad \forall i \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{A.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(2)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\mathbf{e}_1^{(2)}\right) = -\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(2)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)\\
-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}\right)-\left(\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(2)},\\
\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(2)}-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2^{(2)}\right) = +\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(2)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(0)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(0)}\right)\\
+\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(1)}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(1)}+\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(1)}\right)-\left(1-\varepsilon_{\mathtt{SC},r}^{(0)}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{inc}^{(2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_2^{(2)}-\mathbf{e}_1^{(2)}\right)=\mathbf{0},\\
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\mathbf{e}_3^{(2)}-\mathbf{e}_2^{(2)}\right)=\mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

As suggested in Ref.[11], it is now convenient to split $\mathbf{e}_t^{(2)}$ into two components:

$$\mathbf{e}_t^{(2)} = \bar{\mathbf{e}}_t^{(2)} + \bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}_t^{(2)} \quad \forall t \in \{1,2,3\}. \tag{A.25}$$

The first component $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_t^{(2)}$ is the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{e}}_t^{(2)} = -j\mathbf{h}_t^{(1)}\\
\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}_t^{(2)} = 0
\end{cases} \quad \forall t \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{A.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(2)}-\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(2)}\right)=0, \quad \mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_3^{(2)}-\bar{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(2)}\right)=0,\\
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(2)}-\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(2)}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{n}\times\left(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_3^{(2)}-\bar{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(2)}\right)=\mathbf{0},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.27}$$

while the second component $\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}_t^{(2)}$ satisfies the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla \times \bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}_t^{(2)} = \mathbf{0}\\
\nabla \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}_t^{(2)} = 0
\end{cases} \quad \forall t \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{A.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_2-\varepsilon_{1,r}\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_1\right)=-\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_2+\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_{inc}\right)\\
&-\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_2+\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_{inc}\right)-\left(\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(2)}_{inc}+\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}\right),\\
&\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_3-\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_2\right)=\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_2+\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_{inc}\right)\\
&+\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_2+\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_{inc}\right)+\left(\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}-1\right)\mathbf{n}\cdot\left(\mathbf{e}^{(2)}_{inc}+\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{A.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_2-\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_1\right)=\mathbf{0},\\
\mathbf{n}\times\left(\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_3-\bar{\bar{\mathbf{e}}}^{(2)}_2\right)=\mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.30}$$

By using the same line of reasoning of Ref.[11] we obtain the expression of $\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}$ that satisfies Eqs. A.26 and A.27:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}(P)=\\
&\frac{\left(\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}-\varepsilon_{1,r}\right)}{8\pi}\oint_{S_1}\frac{\left(\mathbf{n}_M\times\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}(M)+\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_{inc}\right)\right)\times\mathbf{r}_{MP}}{r_{MP}}dS_M+\\
&\frac{\left(1-\varepsilon^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\right)}{8\pi}\oint_{S_2}\frac{\left(\mathbf{n}_M\times\left(\mathbf{e}^{(0)}(M)+\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_{inc}\right)\right)\times\mathbf{r}_{MP}}{r_{MP}}dS_M,
\end{aligned}\tag{A.31}$$

Then, from Eqs. A.28-A.30 we obtain the expression of the second-order correction $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ of the dipole moment of the coated-object in terms of its resonant modes $\mathbf{p}_k$:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(2)}=\sum_k\frac{\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\left(r''^{(0)}_{s,k}+r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}\right)+\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\left(r''^{(1)}_{s,k}+r''^{(1)}_{inc,k}\right)+\chi_1\left(r'^{(2)}_{inc,k}+\tilde{r}'^{(2)}_{s,k}\right)+\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}\left(r''^{(2)}_{inc,k}+\tilde{r}''^{(2)}_{s,k}\right)}{\psi_k-\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}}\mathbf{p}_k,\tag{A.32}$$

where we have defined the quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
r'^{(2)}_{inc,k}&=-2\varepsilon_0\oint_{S_1}\tau'_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{(2)}_{inc}dS,\\
r''^{(2)}_{inc,k}&=2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\tau'_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{(2)}_{inc}dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau''_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{(2)}_{inc}dS\right),\\
r''^{(1)}_{s,k}&=2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\tau'_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_2dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau''_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{(1)}_2dS\right),\\
\tilde{r}'^{(2)}_{s,k}&=-2\varepsilon_0\oint_{S_1}\tau'_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}dS,\\
\tilde{r}''^{(2)}_{s,k}&=2\varepsilon_0\left(\oint_{S_1}\tau'_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}dS-\oint_{S_2}\tau''_k\mathbf{n}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}dS\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{A.33}$$

By zeroing the quantity $\mathbf{p}^{(2)}$ we obtain the second-order correction of the permittivity $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ at which scattering-cancellation occurs:

$$\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}=-\frac{\displaystyle\sum_k\frac{\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}\left(r''^{(1)}_{s,k}+r''^{(1)}_{inc,k}\right)+\chi_1\left(r'^{(2)}_{inc,k}+\tilde{r}'^{(2)}_{s,k}\right)+\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}\left(r''^{(2)}_{inc,k}+\tilde{r}''^{(2)}_{s,k}\right)}{\psi_k-\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}}p_{k,\hat{\alpha}}}{\displaystyle\sum_k\frac{r''^{(0)}_{s,k}+r''^{(0)}_{inc,k}}{\psi_k-\chi^{(0)}_{\mathtt{SC}}}p_{k,\hat{\alpha}}}.\tag{A.34}$$

We now recapitulate the steps for the computation of the second-order radiation correction $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$. Assuming that the calculation of $\varepsilon^{(1)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ has been already performed, we calculate the corresponding scattered electric field $\mathbf{e}^{(1)}$, solution of the problem A.14-A.15, on both the internal and the external surface. Moreover, using the electrostatic field $\mathbf{e}^{(0)}$ and the incident field $\mathbf{e}^{(0)}_{inc}$ we can calculate by Eq. A.31 the field $\bar{\mathbf{e}}^{(2)}$ on the both internal and the external surface. Thus, we compute the quantities $r'^{(2)}_{inc,k}, r''^{(2)}_{inc,k}, r''^{(1)}_{s,k}, \tilde{r}'^{(2)}_{s,k}, \tilde{r}''^{(2)}_{s,k}$. Eventually, $\varepsilon^{(2)}_{\mathtt{SC},r}$ can be calculated using Eq. A.34.

* Corresponding author: carlo.forestiere@gmail.com

[1] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D. R. Smith, *Science*, vol. 312, no. 5781, pp. 1780–1782, 2006.

[2] U. Leonhardt, *Science*, vol. 312, no. 5781, pp. 1777–1780, 2006.

[3] A. Alù and N. Engheta, *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 72, p. 016623, Jul 2005.

[4] M. Silveirinha, A. Alù and N. Engheta, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 78, p. 205109, Nov 2008.

[5] D. Schurig, J. J. Mock, B. J. Justice, S. A. Cummer, J. B. Pendry, A. F. Starr, and D. R. Smith, *Science*, vol. 314, no. 5801, pp. 977–980, 2006.

[6] D. Rainwater, A. Kerkhoff, K. Melin, J. C. Soric, G. Moreno, and A. Alù, *New Journal of Physics*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 013054, 2012.

[7] A. Alù and N. Engheta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 102, p. 233901, Jun 2009.

[8] S. Tricarico, F. Bilotti, A. Alù, and L. Vegni, *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 81, p. 026602, Feb 2010.

[9] F. Ouyang and M. Isaacson, *Ultramicroscopy*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 345 – 349, 1989.

[10] D. R. Fredkin and I. D. Mayergoyz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 91, p. 253902, Dec 2003.

[11] I. Mayergoyz, D. Fredkin, and Z. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 72, p. 155412, 2005.

[12] I. Mayergoyz and Z. Zhang, *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1689–1692, 2007.

[13] C. Forestiere, L. Dal Negro, and G. Miano, *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 88, p. 155411, Oct 2013.

[14] L. Hung, S. Y. Lee, O. McGovern, O. Rabin, and I. Mayergoyz, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 075424 (2013).

[15] P. Borwein and T. Erdelyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[16] N. Engheta and R. W. Ziolkowski, *Electromagnetic Metamaterials: Physics and Engineering Explorations*. New York: Wiley & Sons, 2006.

[17] C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*. Wiley, 1998.

[18] "See supplemental material for greater details on the numerical solution.,"

[19] J. B. Pendry, A. J. Holden, W. J. Stewart, and I. Youngs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 76, pp. 4773–4776, Jun 1996.

[20] "See supplemental material for the validation of the calculated scattered power using the method of moments(MoM).,"

[21] C. Argyropoulos, P.-Y. Chen, F. Monticone, G. D'Aguanno, and A. Alù, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 108, p. 263905, Jun 2012.

[22] R. Harrington, *Field Computation by Moment Methods*. New York: Macmillan, 1968.

[23] P. Yla-Oijala and M. Taskinen, *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 53, pp. 3316–3323, oct. 2005.

[24] "See supplemental material for the investigation of the dependence of the transparency condition on the incident polarization.,"

[25] "See supplemental material for an example in which this hypothesis is not completely satisfied.,"